

The Federal Communications Commission

Dear The Federal Communications Commission,

Your new flat fee proposal for telephone service is just plain bad. Although big users would benefit, many more low-volume users like me would simply be priced out of the market. I use my cell phone for necessities only, and it would be absolutely unfair to make me subsidize the usage of those who use their phones indiscriminately. I need access to my phone for safety and convenience, and my prepaid plan allows me to use only what I need. Don't put my safety, and the safety of thousands of other low-income Americans, in jeopardy in order to pander to big users.

I cannot afford to pay more for my telephone service! Please reject this flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Janice Campbell  
13041 Hill Club Lane  
Ashland, Virginia 23005-3150